



## U of T CLINICIAN INVESTIGATOR PROGRAM Evaluation of Research Program Form

*To be completed by all CIP registrants upon completion of research component of CIP program. Your response to this questionnaire will serve as a means to assess the effectiveness of the research component of the Clinician Investigator Program and provide direction for future program development. Anonymity is optional.*

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Graduate Unit:

1. Degree Program: \_\_\_\_\_MSc \_\_\_\_\_PhD \_\_\_\_\_PhD Direct \_\_\_\_\_M.Ed \_\_\_\_\_Non-Grad
2. Degrees obtained **prior** to entry into program: \_\_\_\_\_

**Please use the following 5-point scale to evaluate the criteria listed on pages 2-4:**

- 1 inadequate**
- 2 marginal**
- 3 good**
- 4 very good**
- 5 excellent**

---

### **The Research Program (Graduate Unit)**

---

- ✓ clear definition of admission and degree requirements \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ student matching to supervisor was conducted in an effective and responsive manner \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ policies and procedures were clearly defined and disseminated \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided an environment which stimulated enthusiasm for learning \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided an environment which facilitated interdisciplinary research \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided an environment which promoted a sense of community \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ was responsive to student needs in general \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ Graduate Coordinator/Director were approachable for discussion \_\_\_\_\_

What were the major advantages of the degree program provided by your graduate unit?

What were the major disadvantages encountered in the degree program provided by your graduate unit?

---

## The Supervisor as a Mentor:

---

- ✓ stimulated enthusiasm for learning \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ encouraged the contribution of independent, original thought \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ assisted in the development of your working knowledge and understanding of the general principles of research methodology related to your area of research \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ assisted in the development of competence in clinical and laboratory techniques \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ was approachable for discussion \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ frequency of contact was appropriate \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided appropriate independence in conducting research \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided feedback on draft thesis material in a timely manner \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided adequate opportunity to present publically and meet with leading scholars in the area of research \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ contributed to the improvement of your technical skills \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided direction and feedback \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided quality informal day-to-day teaching \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ assisted in the refining of the topic of research and the establishment of a critical path for completion of the research and thesis preparation \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ discussed with you any arrangements for provision of student funding \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ ensured appropriate continuing supervision during his/her absence \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided a good role model as a clinician/researcher \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided a good role model as a teacher \_\_\_\_\_

Above  
 Outstanding    Average    Average    Below Recommendation  
 \_\_\_\_\_    \_\_\_\_\_    \_\_\_\_\_    \_\_\_\_\_    Required  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Overall, your supervisor's performance was:

Comments:

---

## The Thesis Advisory Committee

---

- ✓ was composed of appropriate members who provided expertise in areas related to the thesis topic and are complementary to the Supervisor's interests \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ met regularly \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided clearly defined evaluation of your progress \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ members were accessible on an informal basis \_\_\_\_\_

Additional comments:

---

## Graduate Courses

---

The overall quality of courses was:

Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5

Additional comments:

---

## U of T Clinician Investigator Program (CIP)

---

- ✓ clear definition of admission and certification requirements \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ training goals and objectives were clearly defined and disseminated \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ written trainee evaluations (ITERs) were useful for training feedback \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided an environment which facilitated interdisciplinary research \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ provided an environment which promoted a sense of community \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ educational quality of CIP seminars \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ was responsive to student needs in general \_\_\_\_\_
- ✓ Director/CIP Administrator were approachable \_\_\_\_\_

What were the major advantages/strengths of the CIP?

What were the major disadvantages/weaknesses encountered in the CIP?

Additional comments:

---

## Publications

---

PLEASE **ENCLOSE A LIST** OF YOUR PUBLICATIONS, ABSTRACTS, CONFERENCES AND AWARDS

No. of publications since commencement of your graduate degree and the CIP \_\_\_\_\_  
 "In-Press" no. \_\_\_\_\_

	Complete	In progress
Technical writings/reports	_____	_____
Patents	_____	_____
Other (appropriate to the discipline)	_____	_____

---

---

## **Outcome (post-completion of degree and CIP research component)**

---

Do you have an appointment? Is it in: 1) academic medicine; or 2) industry? If so, please tell us the percent of protected time you have available to do research. Are you working in a private practice?

Appointment: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Protected time in research as a percent: \_\_\_\_\_

---

Return completed form to:

Clinician Investigator Program  
Faculty of Medicine  
#2256 - Medical Sciences Building  
1 King's College Circle  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, ON M5S 1A8

**E-mail: [uoft.cip@utoronto.ca](mailto:uoft.cip@utoronto.ca)**